

# Cryptography

## Problem set 1 - 12-13 III 2013

**Definition 1.** An encryption scheme  $(\text{Gen}, \text{Enc}, \text{Dec})$  over a message space  $\mathcal{M}$  is **perfectly secret** if for every probability distribution over  $\mathcal{M}$ , every message  $m \in \mathcal{M}$ , and every ciphertext  $c \in \mathcal{C}$  for which  $P[C = c] > 0$ :

$$P[M = m|C = c] = P[M = m].$$

**Definition 2.** An encryption scheme  $(\text{Gen}, \text{Enc}, \text{Dec})$  over a message space  $\mathcal{M}$  is **perfectly secret** if and only if for every probability distribution over  $\mathcal{M}$ , every message  $m \in \mathcal{M}$ , and every ciphertext  $c \in \mathcal{C}$ :

$$P[C = c|M = m] = P[C = c].$$

**Definition 3.** An encryption scheme  $(\text{Gen}, \text{Enc}, \text{Dec})$  over a message space  $\mathcal{M}$  is **perfectly secret** if for every probability distribution over  $\mathcal{M}$ , every  $m_0, m_1 \in \mathcal{M}$ , and every  $c \in \mathcal{C}$ :

$$P[C = c|M = m_0] = P[C = c|M = m_1].$$

**Definition 4.** A function  $f$  is **negligible** if for every polynomial  $p(\cdot)$  there exists an  $N$  such that for all integers  $n > N$  it holds that  $f(n) < \frac{1}{p(n)}$ .

**Problem 1** Prove or refute: definitions 1 and 2 are equivalent.

**Problem 2** Prove or refute: definitions 2 and 3 are equivalent.

**Problem 3** Prove or refute: definitions 1 and 3 are equivalent.

**Problem 4** Prove or refute: For every encryption scheme that is perfectly secret it holds that for every distribution over the message space  $\mathcal{M}$ , every  $m, m' \in \mathcal{M}$ , and every  $c \in \mathcal{C}$ :

$$P[M = m|C = c] = P[M = m'|C = c].$$

**Problem 5** Consider the following definition of perfect secrecy for the encryption of two messages. An encryption scheme  $\langle \text{Gen}, \text{Enc}, \text{Dec} \rangle$  over a message space  $\mathcal{M}$  is perfectly-secret for two messages if for all distributions over  $\mathcal{M}$ , all  $m_0, m_1 \in \mathcal{M}$ , and all  $c_0, c_1 \in \mathcal{C}$  with  $P(C_0 = c_0 \wedge C_1 = c_1) > 0$ :

$$P(M_0 = m_0 \wedge M_1 = m_1 | C_0 = c_0 \wedge C_1 = c_1) = P(M_0 = m_0 \wedge M_1 = m_1),$$

where  $m_0$  and  $m_1$  are sampled independently from the same distribution over  $\mathcal{M}$ .

Prove that no encryption scheme satisfies this definition (hint: take  $m_0 \neq m_1$  but  $c_0 = c_1$ ).

**Problem 6** 1. Prove that the *shift cipher* is perfectly secure if only a single character is encrypted.

2. Prove that One Time Pad is perfectly secure.

**Problem 7** The best algorithm known today for finding the prime factors of an  $n$ -bit number runs in time  $2^{cn^{\frac{1}{3}}(\log n)^{\frac{2}{3}}}$ . Assuming  $4GHz$  computers and  $c = 1$  (and that the units of the given expression are clock cycles), estimate the size of numbers that cannot be factored for the next 100 years.

**Problem 8** Let  $f, g$  be negligible functions. Show that:

1. The function  $h(n) = f(n) + g(n)$  is negligible .
2. For any positive polynomial  $p$ , the function  $h(n) = p(n) \cdot f(n)$  is negligible .

**Problem 9** (2 points) Let  $\Pi_1 = \langle Gen_1, Enc_1, Dec_1 \rangle$ ,  $\Pi_2 = \langle Gen_2, Enc_2, Dec_2 \rangle$  be the two private-key encryption schemes. Show how to construct  $\Pi$  – a *CPA-secure* private-key encryption scheme by combining schemes  $\Pi_1$  and  $\Pi_2$ . You may assume that  $\Pi_i$  is *CPA-secure* but you do not know which one.

Assuming that an adversary can win the *CPA* experiment with an advantage  $\epsilon_i$  for the scheme  $\Pi_i$ , evaluate adversary's advantage for the scheme  $\Pi$ .